

Public Notice Details

Planning Application Details

Application No	DA2400094

Property Details

Property Location	273 Phipps Road Runnymede			
• •				

Application Information

Application Type	Discretionary Development Application
Development Category	Dwelling/Shed
Advertising Commencement Date	21/2/25
Advertising Closing Period	7/3/25
If the Council Offices are closed during normal office hours within the above period, the period for making representations is extended.	

Enquiries regarding this Application can be made via to Southern Midlands Council on (03) 6254 5050 or by emailing <u>planningenquires@southernmidlands.tas.gov.au</u>. Please quote the <u>development application</u> <u>number</u> when making your enquiry.

Representations on this application may be made to the General Manager in writing either by

Post:	PO Box 21, Oatlands Tas 7120
Email:	mail@southernmidlands.tas.gov.au
Fax:	03 6254 5014

All representations must include the authors full name, contact number and postal address and be received by the advertising closing date.



APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMIT – USE AND DEVELOPMENT

Residential Use

Use this form to apply for planning approval in accordance with section 57 and 58 of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993

Applicant / Owner Details: Zachary Hucker & Kimberley Martyn **Owner / s Name** 40 Marina Drive Postal Address Phone No: 413014473 Barretta 7054 Fax No: Email address: zac.hucker@gmail.com & kimmartyn4@gmail.com **Applicant Name** (if not owner) Postal Address: Phone No: Fax No: Email address: Description of proposed use and/or development: Address of new use 27.3 Phipps Road, Runnymede, 7190 and development: Certificate of Title Volume No 141076 Lot No: 1 No:

New Dwelling

ie: New Dwelling /Additions/ Demolition / /Shed / Farm Building / Carport / Swimming Pool or detail other etc.

SMC - KEMPTON	
RECEIVED	
22/07/2024	



Description of proposed use or development:	We wish to build a new dwelling on our property, comprising of a gable roof shed, measuring approximately 9m x 20m. We intend to have an internal section of this shed built to a class 1a specification. This dwelling will serve as our interim home, until we are in a position to build a more suitable home in the future.			
	In the future, provided our future house is approved and built, we would request to declassify the subject of this application to a 10a building, to solely store equipment and stores.			
	Recreational bush block			
Current use of land and buildings:	There are currently no dwellings or permanent buildings located on the property			
Pleas	se tick √answer			
Is the property Heritage Listed	Yes No X			



Proposed Material	What are the proposed external wall colours	Dark Grey - Monument	What is the proposed roof colour	Dark Grey - Monument	
	What is the proposed new floor area m ² .	180m sq	What is the estimated value of all the new work proposed:	\$210000	

Please attach any additional information that may be required by Part 8.1 Application Requirements of the Planning Scheme.

Signed Declaration

We hereby apply for a planning approval to carry out the use or development described in this application and in the accompanying plans and documents, accordingly I declare that:

- 1. The information given is a true and accurate representation of the proposed development. I understand that the information and materials provided with this development application may be made available to the public. I understand that the Council may make such copies of the information and materials as, in its opinion, are necessary to facilitate a thorough consideration of the Development Application. I have obtained the relevant permission of the copyright owner for the communication and reproduction of the plans accompanying the development application, for the purposes of assessment of that application. I indemnify the Southern Midlands Council for any claim or action taken against it in respect of breach of copyright in respect of any of the information or material provided.
- I am the applicant for the planning permit and <u>I have notified the owner/s of the land in writing</u> of the intention to make this application in accordance with Section 52(1) of the Land Use Planning Approvals Act 1993 (or the land owner has signed this form in the box below in "Land Owner(s) signature);

Applicant Signature	Applicant Name (Please print)	Date
(if not the Owner)		
Land Owner(s) Signature	Land Owners Name (please print)	Date
fleso_	Zachary Hucker	18 July 24
Land Owner(s) Signature	Land Owners Name (please print)	Date
att tor thes	Kimberley Martyn	18 July 24

Address all correspondence to: The General Manager, PO Box 21, Oatlands Tasmania 7120 Oatlands Office: 71 High Street Oatlands Phone (03) 62545000 Fax (03) 62545014 Kempton Office: 85 Main Street Kempton Phone (03) 62545050 Email Address: <u>mail@southernmidlands.tas.gov.au</u> Web <u>www.southernmidlands.tas.gov.au</u> ABN 68653459 589

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION - PROPOSED NEW DWELLING (CLASS 1)



DIMENSION NOTE:

Use written diemthions only. Do no scale from drawings. All figured dimensions are to be used as a guide only. It is imperative that all dimensions, setouts and levels be confirmed onsite by the builder, Surveyor or Sub Contractor prior to the commencement of work, manufacture or installaion; and the Builder, Sub Contractor and/or manufacturer ensures a full set of plans are on hand and reference has been made to the general notes

DRAWING NOTE:

This drawing & design shown is the property of BLST Pty Ltd and shall not be copied nor reproduced in part or in whole in any form without the written permission of BLST Pty Ltd and shall be used only by the client of BLST Pty Ltd for the project for which it was provided.



Zac Hucker

hobart@shedsnhomes.com.au 273 Phipps Road, Runnymede TAS 7190

NEW DWELLING

SHEDS MADE TOUGH ABN 52 660 422 159

CONTENTS

C1.0 C2.0 C2.1 C3.0 C4.0 C4.1 COVER SHEET SITE PLAN SITE PLAN FLOORPLAN ELEVATIONS ELEVATIONS

DRAWING TITLE:				
COVER	SHEET			
	DATE	SCALE		DRAWN BY:
	22/01/2025			BH
	REVISION No.	SHEET SIZE: A3	JOB No SNH24-060	C1.0

Existing track into new driveway

New propsoed dwelling



DIMENSION NOTE:

Use written diemtnions only. Do no scale from drawings. All figured dimensions are to be used as a guide only. It is imperative that all dimensions, setouts and levels be confirmed onsite by the builder, Surveyor or Sub Contractor prior to the commencement of work, manufacture or installaion; and the Builder, Sub Contractor and/or manufacturer ensures a full set of plans are on hand and reference has been made to the general notes

DRAWING NOTE: This drawing & design shown is the property of BLST Pty Ltd and shall not be copied nor reproduced in part or in whole in any form without the written permission of BLST Pty Ltd and shall be used only by the client of BLST Pty Ltd for the project for which it was provided.



















Land Capability and Planning Scheme Assessment

273 Phipps Road, Runnymede



Landowner: Zac Hucker & Kim Martin Author: Eve Lazarus

Date of Assessment: 20/09/2024



21a Bathurst Street Hobart - info@enviro-dynamics.com.au

Contents

CONTEN	TS2
INTRODU	JCTION1
BACKGR	OUND1
1.1	SITE DESCRIPTION 1
1.2	DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL
METHOD	OLOGY6
MANAGI	EMENT OF ADJACENT LAND9
RESOUR	CES AND CONSTRAINTS
1.3	GEOLOGY AND SOILS
PLANNIN	IG ASSESSMENT
LAND CA	PABILITY ASSESSMENT13
CONCLU	SION
REFEREN	ICES

Introduction

This report has been prepared in support of a house development application under the *Tasmanian Planning Scheme (Southern Midlands Local Provisions Schedule 2022)* which requires an assessment of the proposed development against the discretionary use standards of the *Tasmanian Planning Scheme* and the *Southern Midlands Local Provisions Schedule 2022*. The report has been prepared after a desktop assessment of available remote data, a site visit and consultation with the current landowner Zac Hucker.

Background

1.1 Site description

The Property (PID 2302184, 273 Phipps Road, Runnymede; +/- 86 ha) is situated adjacently west of Mt Phipps at the southern end of Phipps Road approximately 2.3 km from turning off the Tasman Highway (Figure 1). To the south and southeast there are acreage properties, some with dwellings. The eastern border of The Property is adjacent to the Mount Morrison Forest Reserve managed by the Parks & Wildlife Service. To the west and north of the property there is a farming enterprise owned by Paul & Katheine Tate which currently maintains a low stocking rate of sheep. The paddocks adjacent to The Property are not actively utilised for sheep grazing.

The property at 273 Phipps Road has been partially cleared and grazed with some areas of remnant vegetation remaining. Much of the property can be considered as regenerating land.

The area of the proposed new house is in an existing cleared area off an access road in the mid-south of the property (Figure 2).



Figure 1 – Site Location







Adjacent Pastoral Property

Public Roads

Figure 2 – Proposed house site location

Enviro-dynamics info@enviro-dynamics.com.au



Figure 3 – Proposed house plan



Figure 4 – Proposed house plan (detail)

1.2 Development proposal

The proposed house is within land zoned as agricultural under the *Tasmanian Planning Scheme* (Southern Midlands Local Provisions Schedule 2022) as outlined in Figure 2 above. The proposed house plan is provided in Figure 3 above.

The purpose of land under Agricultural Zoning is to prioritise and protect farmland by restricting non-agricultural activities that might disrupt farming. It ensures that development aligns with and supports agriculture, preventing land from being permanently converted to non-farming uses, particularly in irrigation areas.

Under the Tasmanian Planning Scheme some non-agricultural uses on farmland are permitted, but they must support or be compatible with farming. These include homes compatible with farming, small tourism ventures such as farm stays, resource development such as timber harvesting, utility services, and small farm-related businesses like roadside stalls. These uses are controlled to ensure farming remains the primary focus.

Methodology

A site visit was undertaken on Friday 20th September to meet the owner and assess the proposed placement of the house in relation to the adjacent pastoral enterprise for the purposes of developing a land capability assessment and to address criteria outlined in the Agricultural Zoning of the *Tasmanian Planning Scheme* and the *Southern Midlands Local Provisions Schedule 2022*.

1.1.1 Land capability

A Land Capability Assessment is a ranking of the ability of land to sustain a range of agricultural land uses without degradation of the land resource (Grose, 1999). The Land Capability Assessment considers limitations to sustainable agricultural use of the land, including soil quality and depth, the risk of erosion or other hazards, water security, climate and topography. Generally, it is the most limiting attribute that determines the Land Capability class. If soils on their own do not constitute the most limiting attribute, then detailed soil profile analysis is not always warranted.

In this case, given the obvious constraints of the property and the lack of capacity for intensive cropping or other high input agricultural use evident from the site visit, available remote data, owner discussions, the soils/substrate mapping and existing vegetation cover and condition, it was not considered necessary to take soil samples or conduct detailed analysis of soil profiles.

The following are the Land Capability classes defined by Grose (1999).

CLASS 1

Land well suited to a wide range of intensive cropping and grazing activities. It occurs on flat land with deep, well drained soils, and in a climate that favours a wide variety of crops. While there are virtually no limitations to agricultural usage, reasonable management inputs need to be maintained to prevent degradation of the resource. Such inputs might include very minor soil conservation treatments, fertiliser

inputs or occasional pasture phases. Class 1 land is highly productive and capable of being cropped eight to nine years out of ten in a rotation with pasture or equivalent without risk of damage to the soil resource or loss of production, during periods of average climatic conditions.

CLASS 2

Land suitable for a wide range of intensive cropping and grazing activities. Limitations to use are slight, and these can be readily overcome by management and minor conservation practices. However, the level of inputs is greater, and the variety and/or number of crops that can be grown is marginally more restricted, than for Class 1 land. This land is highly productive but there is an increased risk of damage to the soil resource or of yield loss. The land can be cropped five to eight years out of ten in a rotation with pasture or equivalent during 'normal' years, if reasonable management inputs are maintained.

CLASS 3

Land suitable for cropping and intensive grazing. Moderate levels of limitation restrict the choice of crops or reduce productivity in relation to Class 1 or Class 2 land. Soil conservation practices and sound management are needed to overcome the moderate limitations to cropping use. Land is moderately productive, requiring a higher level of inputs than Classes I and 2. Limitations either restrict the range of crops that can be grown or the risk of damage to the soil resource is such that cropping should be confined to three to five yens out of ten in a rotation with pasture or equivalent during normal years.

CLASS 4

Land primarily suitable for grazing but which may be used for occasional cropping. Severe limitations restrict the length of cropping phase and/or severely restrict the range of crops that could be grown. Major conservation treatments and/or careful management is required to minimise degradation. Cropping rotations should be restricted to one to two years out of ten in a rotation with pasture or equivalent, during 'normal' years to avoid damage to the soil resource. In some areas longer cropping phases may be possible but the versatility of the land is very limited.

CLASS 5

This land is unsuitable for cropping, although some areas on easier slopes may be cultivated for pasture establishment or renewal and occasional fodder crops may be possible. The land may have slight to moderate limitations for pastoral use. The effects of limitations on the grazing potential may be reduced by applying appropriate soil conservation measures and land management practices.

CLASS 6

Land marginally suitable for grazing because of severe limitations. This land has low productivity, high risk of erosion, low natural fertility or other limitations that severely restrict agricultural use. This land should be retained under its natural vegetation cover.

CLASS 7

Land with very severe to extreme limitations which make it unsuitable for agricultural use.

1.1.2 <u>Planning scheme assessment</u>

The proposed residential dwelling is within Agricultural Zoning under the *Tasmanian Planning Scheme.* An assessment against the relevant criteria has been requested by Council and has been undertaken as part of this report. The *Southern Midlands Local Provisions Schedule 2022* was also reviewed. The following criteria were assessed under the *Tasmanian Planning Scheme's provisions*.

21.3.1 Discretionary uses

Ρ4

A Residential use listed as Discretionary must:

(a) be required as part of an agricultural use, having regard to:

(i) the scale of the agricultural use

(ii) the complexity of the agricultural use

- (iii) the operational requirements of the agricultural use
- (iv) the requirement for the occupier of the dwelling to attend to the agricultural use; and
- (v) proximity of the dwelling to the agricultural use; or

(b) be located on a site that:

(i) is not capable of supporting an agricultural use

(ii) is not capable of being included with other agricultural land (regardless of ownership) for agricultural use and

(iii) does not confine or restrain agricultural use.

21.4.2 Setbacks

Ρ1

Buildings must be sited to provide adequate vehicle access and not cause an unreasonable impact on existing use on adjoining properties, having regard to:

(a) the bulk and form of the building

- (b) the nature of existing use on the adjoining properties
- (c) separation from existing use on the adjoining

properties and

(d) any buffers created by natural or other features.

21.4.3 Access for new dwellings

Ρ1

New dwellings must have legal access, by right of carriageway, to a road maintained by a road authority, that is appropriate having regard to:

- (a) the number of users of the access
- (b) the length of the access
- (c) the suitability of the access for use by the occupants of the dwelling
- (d) the suitability of the access for emergency services vehicles
- (e) the topography of the site
- (f) the construction and maintenance of the access
- (g) the construction, maintenance and usage of the road and
- (h) any advice from the road authority.

Management of adjacent land

The proposed house development site is 800+ m from land used for pastoral purposes. To the west and north of the Property there is a farming enterprise owned by Paul & Katheine Tate which currently maintains a low stocking rate of sheep. However it should be noted that paddocks adjacent to the Property are not actively utilised for sheep grazing.

Resources and Constraints

1.3 Geology and Soils

The substrate over the Property is largely imperfectly drained texture contrast (podzolic soils) developed on Jurassic dolerite bedrock and colluvium on rolling to steep (10-56%). There is a small section (5 ha) of podzolic soils on sandstone at the northwest of the Property (figure 4).

The most common podzolic soils on dolerite (Eastfield SPC) have a shallow surface horizon (5-10cm thick) over a bleached subsurface usually with some ferruginous gravel, both surface and subsurface having a fine sandy loam to loamy sand texture. These overlie abruptly a weakly mottled yellow-grey and yellow-brown, usually dense clay subsoil with some ferruginous gravel, and prismatic to blocky structure. The clay passes into an horizon of mealy decomposing dolerite at about a metre. Dolerite gravel and stone are usually present throughout the profile (Spanswick & Kidd 2000).



Figure 5 – Soils on the subject land and adjacent pastoral property

Planning assessment

Responses to the proposed development that falls within Agricultural Zoning under the *Tasmanian Planning Scheme's State Planning Provisions 2024* are provided below.

21.3.1 Discretionary uses

Ρ4

A Residential use listed as Discretionary must:

- (a) be required as part of an agricultural use, having regard to:
 - (i) the scale of the agricultural use
 - (ii) the complexity of the agricultural use
 - (iii) the operational requirements of the agricultural use
 - (iv) the requirement for the occupier of the dwelling to attend to the agricultural use; and
 - (v) proximity of the dwelling to the agricultural use; or

(b) be located on a site that:

(i) is not capable of supporting an agricultural use

 Response: The proposed residential dwelling at 273 Phipps Road Runnymede is sited on land with limited agricultural potential due to the constraints of soils and topography. These limitations are reflected by the majority of land being classified as class 6 under Grose (1999) as 'land marginally suitable for grazing because of severe limitations'.

(ii) is not capable of being included with other agricultural land (regardless of ownership) for agricultural use; and

- Response: The proposed residential dwelling at 273 Phipps Road Runnymede is sited on land with limited agricultural potential due to the constraints of soils and topography. These limitations are reflected by the majority of land being classified as class 6 under Grose (1999) as 'land marginally suitable for grazing because of severe limitations'.
 - (iii) does not confine or restrain agricultural use
- Response: the pastoral enterprise on adjacent land is running on mix of perennial pastures and annual grasses. It is not highly renovated and is lightly grazed with a low stocking rate. As a result, there is a limited potential for land use conflict. There is a sound neighbourly relationship between the landowners of 273 Phipps Road and the adjacent landowners.

21.4.2 Setbacks

Ρ1

Buildings must be sited to provide adequate vehicle access and not cause an unreasonable impact on existing use on adjoining properties, having regard to:

- (a) the bulk and form of the building;
- (b) the nature of existing use on the adjoining properties;
- (c) separation from existing use on the adjoining

properties; and

- (d) any buffers created by natural or other features.
- Response: the structure is placed in an area on the block with no visibility to other dwellings or infrastructure on adjacent properties and will have no impact on adjoining land use.

21.4.3 Access for new dwellings

Ρ1

New dwellings must have legal access, by right of carriageway, to a road maintained by a road authority, that is appropriate having regard to:

- (a) the number of users of the access;
- (b) the length of the access;
- (c) the suitability of the access for use by the occupants of the dwelling;
- (d) the suitability of the access for emergency services vehicles;
- (e) the topography of the site;
- (f) the construction and maintenance of the access;
- (g) the construction, maintenance and usage of the road; and
- (h) any advice from the road authority.
- Response: The dwelling is using an existing functional track from a maintained road into the new driveway, the primary occupants will maintain the track.

Land Capability Assessment

Both the current owner of 273 Phipps Road and the adjacent pastoral enterprise recognise that the land is unsuited to a viable commercial enterprise or cropping pursuits (please see Table 1).

The majority of the land is mapped under the Land Capability layer on the List (NRE, 2023) as Class 6 with 5ha of land at the northwest corner mapped as Class 5.

Class 6: land marginally suitable for grazing because of severe limitations.

Class 5: land is unsuitable for cropping, although some areas on easier slopes may be cultivated for pasture establishment or renewal and occasional fodder crops may be possible.

Table 1 outlines broad constraints to various commercial agricultural activities in Tasmania and highlights the unsuitability of the subject properties to an intensive farming operation.

Constraint	Livestock		Cereals Vegetables	Berries	Orchard	Plantation		
	Sheep (wool)	Beef Cattle	Dairy Cattle				truits & vines	forestry
Land capability class	3-6	3-5/6	3-5	1-4	1-4	1-4/5	1-4/5	4-6
Scale for viable commercial enterprise (ALMP)*	333ha	333ha	40ha	133ha	25ha	10ha	10ha	-
Irrigation water	Not required	Not required	Often required (4-6Ml/ha)	Not required	Required (2-6Ml/ha)	Required (1-3Ml/ha)	Required (2-3Ml/ha)	Not required
Rainfall	Lower rainfall areas	-	High rainfall (or irrigation)	-	-	High rainfall (or irrigation)	-	Above 700- 800mm
Comments relative to subject land	Inadequate land area for commercial viability	Inadequate land area for commercial viability	Inadequate water resources	Inadequate land area & unsuitable land class	Inadequate water resources & unsuitable land class	Inadequate water resources & unsuitable land class	Inadequate water resources & unsuitable land class	Inadequate land area and rainfall

Table 1. Biophysical resource constraints for some commercial agricultural land uses

*Source: Agricultural Land Mapping Project (2017)

Conclusion

The proposed residential dwelling at 273 Phipps Road Runnymede is sited on land with limited agricultural potential due to the constraints of its soils and topography. These limitations are reflected by the majority of land being classified as Class 6 under Grose (1999) as 'land marginally suitable for grazing because of severe limitations'.

The pastoral enterprise on adjacent land is running a low stocking rate and is unlikely to intensify due to land capacity constraints. There is a sound neighbourly relationship between the owners of 273 Phipps Road and the adjacent landowners. The house site location is secluded and will not be visible from adjacent landowners.

From our assessment there is limited potential for land use conflict with this house development.

References

DPIPWE. (2021). Cadastral Parcels Dataset. TASMAP Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment.

DPIPWE. (2021). Land Capability of Tasmania Dataset. Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment.

Grose, C. J. (1999). Land Capability Handbook. Guidelines for the Classification of Agricultural Land in Tasmania. (Second Edition ed.). Tasmania, Australia: Department of Primary Industries, Water and Environment.

Tas. Dept. of Justice (2017) The Agricultural Land Mapping Project dataset.

TPC (2024). Tasmanian Planning Scheme. State Planning Provisions. Tasmanian Planning Commission.

Southern Midlands (2022). Local Planning Provisions Schedule.

Spanswick, T. & Kidd, D (2000) Buckland Soil Report. Reconnaissance Soil Map Series of Tasmania: a Revised Edition, Department of Primary Industries, Water and Environment, Tasmania.

Bushfire Hazard Report

283 Phipps Road Runnymede

Tasmanian Planning Scheme

Property ID 2302184 Title Reference 141076/1

New house

Z Hucker

January 2025

Roger Fenwick Bush Fire Consultant PO Box 86B Kettering Tasmania 7155 roger@bushfire-consultant.com.au 0411 609 906 Accreditation No. BFP - 162

2412BEN.RUN.PHI1.0

Table of Contents

Executive summary	3
Purpose	4
Methodology	4
Proposal	4
General site description	4
Vegetation	4
Topography	4
Fire history	5
Bushfire Context	5
Access	6
Water	6
Environmental & other constraints	6
Assessment	7
Hazard Management Area	7
Construction specification	7
Water supply	7
Conclusion	7
Summary of recommendations	7
Annexure A Curriculum vitae	8
Annexure B Bushfire Hazard Management Plan	9
Annexure C Management specifications	11
Annexure D Form 55 Certificate	13
Annexure E Plans	15

Executive summary

I am an Accredited Person permitted to assess bushfire hazards and to define Bushfire Hazard Management Areas and to prepare appropriate plans for their ongoing management. A summary of my *curriculum vitae* is Annexure A.

This report concerns proposed new construction of a BCA Class 1a structure in a bushfire-prone area under the *Tasmanian Planning Scheme*, assessed under the provisions of the *Director's Determination Bushfire Hazard Areas v 1.2* (DDBHA)

The works are located in a setting which meets BAL-29 setback requirements. All proposed new construction will meet appropriate specifications.

I certify that the proposed new works satisfy all of the provisions of all of the relevant bushfire-related legislation.

1.//

Roger Fenwick

Purpose

I have been engaged to undertake a Bushfire Assessment for construction of a new Class 1a house on bushfire-prone land located at 283 Phipps Rd, Runnymede, known as Property ID 2302184, Title Reference 141076/1.

This report provides an assessment of the bushfire risk as required within an area subject to the Tasmanian Planning Scheme by the provisions of the *Director's Determination – Bushfire Hazard Areas* v1.2 (DDBHA)

The fire rating for the new structure is BAL-29

Methodology

The assessment protocol relies on definitions and specifications in the Australian Standard *Construction of buildings in bushfire-prone area 2018* (AS 3959), *Nash Standard – Steel Framed Construction in Bushfire Areas*, vegetation classification by Specht 1970, and in particular, State variations defined in the DDBHA. Those variations specify additional requirements for access, water supply, and a Hazard Management Area (HMA) plan.

For defined vegetation classes, litter and other flammable vegetation component standard values have been determined. These, slope values and standard weather conditions are used to calculate bushfire behaviour, including rate of forward spread, radiant heat output and flame height. When considered in conjunction with the distance between the edge of the fire and the point of measurement (eg the wall of a house), they show the intensity of the fire exposure.

Those combined values are expressed as a Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) plus a number which expresses the radiant heat output in kilowatts per square metre (kWm⁻²). The BAL rating determines the required construction standard. As the setback distance increases, the BAL rating decreases.

Proposal

Plans showing the site and proposed development are Annexure E.

The proposal is to specify appropriate construction standards and specifications, and design the corresponding Hazard Management Area (HMA), for a new residence.

General site description

This 84ha site is centred on a west-running ridge off Mt Phipps. It is partly cleared, moderately to steeply sloping, wooded grazing land.

The proposed house site is an existing clearing on a prominent E-W ridge.

Vegetation

The house site is fairly clear of vegetation. Adjoining land in all directions supports mixed unimproved grass pasture species, *Lomandra*, various wattles, with *E amygdalina* (Black peppermint) dry open Woodland and *E ovata* (Messmate stringybark) dry open Forest (form & density) more prevalent to the south.

Topography

The house site is essentially flat and level to the east and west, with steeper slope exposures developing to the north and south. The exposure to the east is a 2° downslope.to open woodland commending at 44m and then upslope, and to the west is an upslope of 3° for 30m becoming level and then a downslope of 7° at 87m. To the north the general slope is down at 6° for 53m becoming down 16°, and to the south the initial downslope of 4° increases to 14° where the trees start at 30m.

2412BEN.RUN.PHI1.0

There was a concern that nearby (within 100m) downslopes exceeding 20° may have necessitated a Method 2 evaluation of the site. There are no such slopes within the necessary distance, and the evaluation can be made on a Deemed to Satisfy basis.

Direction	First slope	vegetation	Second slope	Dist/veg
East	-2° for 44m	Grassland	level	Woodland
South	-4° for 30m	Grassland	-14°	Forest
West	+3° for 30m	Grassland	level for 57m	Woodland
North	-6° for 53m	Grassland	-16º	Woodland

Slope and vegetation are summarised as:



From house site to East

and



Looking North

and

South

Fire history

The LIST records no fire in the immediate area.

Bushfire Context

A bushfire prone area is defined as land so mapped, or land within 100m of bushfire prone vegetation equal to or exceeding 1 hectare in area. Bushfire prone vegetation includes areas of grasses and shrubs other than defined exceptions such as maintained lawns, gardens, some horticultural land and the like. Properly managed urban land can be classified as Low Threat.

The slope used in bushfire assessments is the gradient beneath unmanaged adjoining vegetation able to support fire movement towards structures. It varies from Upslope and Level (both defined

as 0°) to groups of Downslope in 5° increments. Downslope means that fire is travelling uphill when moving towards the structure.

Setbacks are defined as the plan view (horizontal) distance between the edge of unmanaged vegetation and the nearest part of a structure subject to the assessment. This means to the nearest wall, or if there is no wall, to the nearest supporting post or column of a carport, deck, veranda, landing, stairs or ramps. Eaves and overhangs, tanks, chimneys, unroofed pergolas and sun blinds are excluded.

For planning purposes, it is assumed that the McArthur Forest Fire Danger Index (FDI) is 50. This defined FDI may not cover the worst case exposure at a site, and even strict adherence to the mandatory and other recommended specifications will not guarantee that structures will not be ignited by bushfire.

Access

The house is not in a reticulated water supply area. Property access must comply with the provisions of DDBHA Table 2 C.

All access, including Phipps Rd off the Tasman Highway, is unsealed. The property has access via Crown Licences to Phipps Rd. One of those traverses an adjoining property, with a general carriageway width of 5.75m over a distance of about 450m. There are no formal passing bays on this or the Phipps Rd portion of the access to the subject property, but both are land over which the general public has permanent right of passage, and are therefore part of the road network from which access (to a building site) must comply with the provisions of DDBHA.

Crown licences apply to two (connected) internal roads on the subject property. These are similarly open to the public, and the section used to provide access to the (fenced-off) part of the land on which the house is sought to be built has a carriageway width of over 5m.

A newly created (or improved) access on the property has a length of about 350m, and a carriageway width of almost 4m, with adequate lateral clearance. It needs a slightly improved general width plus a passing bay not less than 6m wide over 20m length within 200m of the entry point and the house site – ie about half-way along its length.

Water

As no reticulated water supply exists the provisions of DDBHA Table 3B apply. A separate 10kl reserved fire-fighting water supply is required in a non-combustible tank, with non-combustible exposed fittings, suitably signposted, to be provided within 90m by hoselay of the dwelling, reserved for fire fighting use. The tank outlet will be within 3m of hardstanding suitable for use by a fire tanker.

It is permissible to share a single water tank, provided that domestic use drawdown cannot remove the 10kl reserved for fire fighting.

Environmental & other constraints

Landslide and Waterway & coastal protection overlays cover parts of the property, but not the land on which works are proposed.

Assessment

Hazard Management Area

Exposure to unmanaged vegetation will be as shown in the following table:

Direction	Slope	Veg	BAL-29	Dist	Slope	Veg	BAL-29
			setback				setback
East	-2°	Grass	<mark>7m</mark>	44m	Level	Wood	10m
South	-4º	Grass	<mark>7m</mark>	<mark>30m</mark>	-14º	Forest	<mark>30m</mark>
West	+3°	Grass	<mark>6m</mark>	30m	Level	Wood	10m
North	-6°	Grass	8m	53m	-16°	Wood	<mark>25m</mark>

Per DDBHA Table 4, all vegetation within the limiting (highlighted) distances shown in the above table is to be managed as an HMA to the specifications in Annexure C, as shown on the plan at Annexure B.

Construction specification

All works are within a BAL-29 setting, which applies to the roof and all sides of the house.

Water supply

Water supply must meet the requirements of DDBHA Table 3B. A water tank with a capacity of not less than 10kl reserved for fire fighting will be located and provided with signage and fittings so that a tanker parked on the hardstanding will be within 3m of the outlet, which will be between 6m and 90m of the house.

Fittings and signage specifications are detailed in Annexure C.

Property access

Property access must meet the requirements of DDBHA Table 2 C, which requires an all-weather 2wd trafficable surface not less than 4m in width, 0.5m clear of obstructions on each side, 4m overhead clearance, 20 tonne capacity, and slope limits which are all met. Turning capability and hardstanding near the water tank outlet will comply. A passing bay not less than 6m total width and 20m length must be provided within 200m of either the property entry point or the residence – ie at about the half-way point along the property access.

Conclusion

The proposal will comply with all provisions of the relevant regulatory documents and instruments for construction at BAL-29.

Summary of recommendations

Initial checklist

- 1. Create the Hazard Management Area over the area shown and prescribed in Annexure B, to the specifications in Annexure C. In particular, keep lawn mown to less than 25mm, and to the extent possible, keep it green by regular watering.
- 2. Upgrade the access road on the site to 4m width plus a 6 x 20m passing bay within 200m of either the entry point or the house site.
- 3. Complete construction to BAL-29 specifications under s3 and s7 of AS 3959-2018.
- 4. Install a non-combustible tank with 10kl capacity reserved for fire fighting plus an outlet and the signage and fittings specified in Annexure C, so that the outlet is within 3m of a tanker parked on the hardstanding. Fill the tank with water.

Annual checklist

- 1. Maintain the Hazard Management Area as prescribed in Annexure B. In particular, keep lawn mown to less than 25mm, and to the extent possible, keep it green by regular watering.
- 2. Ensure that the tank is filled, and that all fittings are in proper working order.

2412BEN.RUN.PHI1.0

Annexure A Curriculum vitae

Qualifications	Graduate Certificate in Bushfire Protection, UWS, 2013					
	Bachelor of Science (Forestry), ANU, 1969					
Work	Self-employed consultant – 1988 to present ACT Bush Fire Council					
Experience						
	Chief Fire Control Officer – 1986 to 1987					
	Secretary – 1985					
	Chief Fire Control Officer -1976 to 1978					
	Deputy Chief Fire Control Officer – 1972 to 1975					
	Assistant to Chief Fire Control Officer - 1970 to 1971					
	CSIRO					
	Experimental Officer, Project Aquarius 1982 to 1984					
	Chemonics Industries USA 1979 to 1981					
	Field Service Representative, chemical fire retardants					
Project Experience	 Responsible for all aspects of staff administration, finance, bush fire safety planning, fire management, training, and fire control operations in the ACT. Attended approximately 2000 wildfires, experimental fires and controlled burns. Attended to an additional approximately 1000 wildfires. Personally prepared approximately 2800 compliance reports to accompany Development Applications for subdivisions, Special Purpose structures, houses, industrial buildings and Defence complexes. Prepared assessments for 31 schools in the Nation-Building Program for the Dept of Education, Employment & Workplace Relations. Gave evidence in the Land & Environment Court on contested DA matters. Prepared Vegetation Management Plans for large (primarily Defence) estates throughout Australia. Prepared training plans and the Bushfire Response Action Plan for Puckapunyal Base, Dept of Defence. Provided studies of bush fire behaviour to assist planning and risk management by plantation insurance companies, Councils and other land management agencies. As an Expert Witness, investigated, reported on and gave evidence in 47 matters involving fire causation and fire management activities, mainly in connection with civil litigation. As Senior Research Officer, assisted in the experiment design and data analysis and responsible for all field operations for Project Aquarius, the major study of large aircraft assisted bush fire control by CSIRO Division of Forestry Research					
Annexure B Bushfire Hazard Management Plan

BUSHFIRE HAZARD MANAGEMENT PLAN

283 Phipps Road, Runnymede

Property ID 2302184 Title 141076/1

Report 2412BEN.RUN.PHI1.0 Roger Fenwick BFP 162 Scope 1, 2, 3A, 3B

24 January 2025

detailed specifications.



House site



Alignment of property access

4m wide plus 2x0.5m and one

6m x 20m passing bay about half way between the entry gate

and the house site.

Annexure C Management specifications

Hazard Management Areas

The intent is to maintain the Hazard Management Area in a condition that will not allow the development or passage of fire able to ignite structures through radiant heat or flame contact. In addition, providing protection against ember attack is highly desirable. Much of the aim is to limit the intensity of the approaching fire to a level which can be absorbed without damage by the passive protection measures included in the house construction. The materials used have been chosen to (probably) not be ignited (eg walls) or be sufficiently heat-affected to break (eg windows) during the passage of the fire. It is assumed that nobody will necessarily be present during the passage of the fire, so that the structure will hopefully survive by itself. Heat from the head of the approaching fire will probably be at its peak for around 5 minutes, but embers, smoke and uncomfortably high heat will continue for around an hour or so. Attendance by suitably clothed, trained, fit and able-bodied people with appropriate equipment immediately after passage of the fire increases the likelihood of the structure surviving, particularly if small local patches have ignited.

Fire must be kept far enough away to limit the radiant heat which will threaten both structures and anyone (homeowners, fire-fighters) in the path of the fire. Basically, fire spreads rapidly in surface litter and low grassy growth, and develops tall flames in the shrub layer. That makes things difficult for fire-fighters trying to work the fire edge. With enough heat generated by vigorous fire in the shrubs and sapling (understorey) layers, the fire flame height will increase, and involve the crowns of the overstorey trees. Flames also run up the bark of many fibrous-barked eucalypt species, adding to the overall heat output but primarily creating showers of embers

Limiting fire behaviour is achieved by separating the various vegetation components both vertically and horizontally. Less surface litter will result in a slightly slower-moving fire, putting out less heat and therefore slower to ignite the shrub layer. Partial removal of the shrub layer significantly reduces the low-level flame height, making it easier for fire-fighters to work near the fire edge, and becoming less likely to ignite the sapling layer. Keeping the shrub and sapling layer fire intensity low means that fire is unlikely to move into the canopy of the overstorey. That is a crown fire, and is completely uncontrollable by any means.

Protecting against ember attack relies largely on proper construction material selection, good design that will not trap embers or the litter on which they may land and ignite. Properly screened openings are essential, but good plant selection and layout can create an ember shield, to deflect or trap embers approaching the house. Remember that embers will also accumulate in the sheltered side, in the eddy zone behind the house. Anywhere leaves accumulate, so will embers.

It is essential to keep even low creeping flames from contacting walls of the house. Maintain a path at least 30cm wide completely clear of all flammable material immediately between the garden/ lawn area – a concrete or gravel path, bare soil, whatever – and the house.

The HMA is to be kept in a substantially cleared condition, with a minimum of flammable material and plants.

- Within the HMA, mown lawn and only occasional scattered low-flammability ornamental shrubs, garden plants and the like should be allowed.
- Immediately beside the house there must be a strip not less than 30cm wide which is kept bare of any combustible material.
- Grass must be kept mown to not more than 25mm in height, and should be kept watered and green within 5m of a wall.

2412BEN.RUN.PHI1.0

- Shrubs should not be located within 2m of a wall, or within 5m of a window.
- Avoid using combustible mulch within 2m of a window and within 1m of a wall use pebbles instead in these settings.
- Trees are to be kept well-spaced, with one crown diameter between canopy crowns, and one shrub (or shrub cluster to 5m diameter) between shrubs or shrub clusters.
- Favour smooth-barked over rough-barked trees, and low-flammability species.
- Prune all tree branches to a height of 2m.
- Shrubs should not be located directly under trees.
- Don't have open woodpiles or locate rubbish heaps within the HMA.

Water tank signage meeting the requirements of AS 2304-2011 or as per the design below, is required. The sign must be within 1m of the location of the outlet, at least 400mm above ground level, located to be visible from an approaching vehicle, and not obstruct access to the outlet.



All above-ground components must be metal, or lagged with non-combustible material. Buried components must be not less than 300mm deep.

An underground tank must have a removeable cover not less than 250mm diameter. The bottom of the tank must not be more than 2m below the level of the ground on which the drafting tanker is parked.

The (not less than 50mm bore) outlet and ball or gate valve must be

- on the water storage tank, or
- beside an approved remote takeoff point located in a protected position, 450-600mm above ground and supplied by a pipe not less than 50mm internal diameter,

so that all parts of the building are within 90m of the outlet.

Water takeoff points must be fitted with a Storz 65mm coupling and suction washer, plus a blank cap on a chain at least 220mm long. They must not be within a parking area, and must be accessible from a hardstanding area located within 3m of the take-off point and not closer than 6m to the building. The hardstanding area must be at least 3m in width, and connected to the general access driveway, and be constructed so that when occupied by a tanker, the tanker will not obstruct the passage of other vehicles. A tanker must have direct access from the hardstanding to a turning T or Y with arms 4m in width and 8m in length.

Annexure D Form 55 Certificate

CERTIFICAT	E OF QUALIFIED P	PERSON	– A	SSE	SSABLE	Section 321
To:	Z Hucker				Owner /Agent	EE
	40 Marina Drive				Address	Form JJ
	Barretta Tas 7054				Suburb/postcode	
Qualified perso	on details:					
Qualified	Roger Fenwick					
person:	PO Box 86B				Phone No:	0411 609 906
Address:	Kettering		71:	55	Fax No:	
Licence No:	BFP-162	Email addres	s:	roger	@bushfire-co	nsultant.com.au
Speciality area of expertise:	Analysis of hazards in	bushfire-		(desc Direct	ription from Column or's Determination -	4 of the Certificates
Details of we				ltems,		ASSessable
Details of wo	rk:					
Address:	283 Phipps Rd				Lot No:	1
	Runnymede Tas		719	90	Certificate of title	No: 141076
The assessable item related to this certificate:	Assessment of bushfire att construction Class 1a	tack level fo	r new		(description of the certified) Assessable item a material; a design a form of construe a document testing of a comp system or plumbi an inspection, or a performed	e assessable item being includes – ction onent, building ing system assessment,
Certificate de	tails:					
Certificate type:	Bushfire hazard asses	sment & F	IMA		(description from C of the Director's De Certificates by Qua	olumn 1 of Schedule 1 termination - lified Persons for

13

This certificate is in relation to the above assessable items, at any stage, as part of – (tick one)

building work, plumbing work or plumbing installation or demolition work OR

a buildin temporary structure or plumbing installation

In issuing this certificate the following matters are relevant -

Documents:	Bushfire Hazard Assessment Report dated January 2025 including Bushfire Hazard Management Plan dated January 2025 AS 3959-2018 <i>Construction of buildings in bushfire-prone areas</i> Plans by Shedsnhomes
Relevant calculations:	Shown in above documents
References:	N/A

Substance of Certificate: (what it is that is being certified)

A bushfire assessment and management plan for proposed new construction, in accordance with BAL-29 construction standards of AS 3959-2018.

Scope and/or Limitations

A Bushfire Hazard Assessment was commissioned by B Harriss to identify the potential bushfire risk and BAL rating, and to recommend appropriate compliance and protection measures. This has been provided.

Limitations: The proposed measures comply with the guidelines. Full compliance with the requirements in this report and/or AS 3959-2018 does not guarantee survival of structures or persons.

I certify the matters described in this certificate.

Certificate No:

Date:

Signed:

2412BEN.RUN.PHI1.0

24 January 2025

Qualified person

Annexure E Plans



Existing track into new driveway

New propsoed dwelling



DIMENSION NOTE:

Use written diemtnions only. Do no scale from drawings. All figured dimensions are to be used as a guide only. It is imperative that all dimensions, setouts and levels be confirmed onsite by the builder, Surveyor or Sub Contractor prior to the commencement of work, manufacture or installaion; and the Builder, Sub Contractor and/or manufacturer ensures a full set of plans are on hand and reference has been made to the general notes

DRAWING NOTE: This drawing & design shown is the property of BLST Pty Ltd and shall not be copied nor reproduced in part or in whole in any form without the written permission of BLST Pty Ltd and shall be used only by the client of BLST Pty Ltd for the project for which it was provided.





DIMENSION NOTE: Use written diemtnions only. Do no scale from drawings. All figured dimensions are to be used as a guide only. It is imperative that all dimensions, setouts and levels be confirmed onsite by the builder, Surveyor or Sub Contractor prior to the commencement of work, manufacture or installaion; and the Builder, Sub Contractor and/or manufacturer ensures a full set of plans are on hand and reference has been made to the general notes

DRAWING NOTE: This drawing & design shown is the property of BLST Pty Ltd and shall not be copied nor reproduced in part or in whole in any form without the written permission of BLST Pty Ltd and shall be used only by the client of BLST Pty Ltd for the project for which it was provided.



Zac Hucker

hobart@shedsnhomes.com.au 283 Phipps Road, Runnymede TAS 7190

NEW DWELLING

*		4.0	
6 Brawnig title Ground	DATE 07/04/24 RE VISION No	SCALE 1:100 SNE2T 512E A3 SNH24-060	DRAWN RY: Author SHEET No C3.0









SITE SOIL EVALUATION FOR FOUNDATIONS AND WASTEWATER



283 PHIPPS ROAD - RUNNYMEDE PROPOSED DWELLING

Client: Certificate of Title:

Investigation Date:

Sheds n Homes 141076/1 Tuesday, 12 November 2024



Refer to this Report As

Enviro-Tech Consultants Pty. Ltd. 2024. Site Soil Evaluation for Foundations and Wastewater Report for a Proposed Dwelling, 283 Phipps Road - Runnymede. Unpublished report for Sheds n Homes by Enviro-Tech Consultants Pty. Ltd., 12/11/2024.

Report Distribution

This report has been prepared by Enviro-Tech Consultants Pty. Ltd. (Envirotech) for the use by parties involved in the proposed development of the property named above.

Permission is hereby given by Envirotech and the client, for this report to be copied and distributed to interested parties, but only if it is reproduced in colour, and only distributed in full. No responsibility is otherwise taken for the contents.

Limitations of this report

In some cases, variations in actual Site conditions may exist between subsurface investigation boreholes. This report only applies to the tested parts of the Site at the Site of testing, and if not specifically stated otherwise, results should not be interpreted beyond the tested areas.

The Site investigation is based on the observed and tested soil conditions relevant to the inspection date and provided design plans (building footprints presented in Attachment A). Any site works which has been conducted which is not in line with the Site plans will not be assessed. Subsurface conditions may change laterally and vertically between test Sites, so discrepancies may occur between what is described in the reports and what is exposed by subsequent excavations. No responsibility is therefore accepted for any difference in what is reported, and actual Site and soil conditions for parts of the investigation Site which were not assessed at the time of inspection.

This report has been prepared based on provided plans detailed herein. Should there be any significant changes to these plans, then this report should not be used without further consultation which may include drilling new investigation holes to cover the revised building footprint. This report should not be applied to any project other than indicated herein.

No responsibility is accepted for subsequent works carried out which deviate from the Site plans provided or activities onsite or through climate variability including but not limited to placement of fill, uncontrolled earthworks, altered drainage conditions or changes in groundwater levels.

At the time of construction, if conditions exist which differ from those described in this report, it is recommended that the base of all footing excavations be inspected to ensure that the founding medium meets that requirement referenced herein or stipulated by an engineer before any footings are poured.



Investigation Summary

Site Classification

In accordance with AS2870 – 2011 and after thorough consideration of the known details pertaining to the proposed building and associated works (hereafter referred to as the Site), the geology, soil conditions, soil properties, and drainage characteristics of the Site have been classified as follows:

CLASS S is based on soil profiles around the proposed building envelope being classified as slightly reactive to soil moisture variation, with test locations potentially subject to surface movement ranging from 0 to 20 mm.

In the case where all footings and concrete pourings including slab are directly founded onto the underlying slightly weathered dolerite bedrock with all soil completely removed, then a CLASS A applies.

Foundations

All footings (edge beams, internal beams, and load support thickenings) must be founded on natural soil through the FILL material.

It is recommended that concentrated loads including but not limited to slab edge or internal beam or strip footings supported directly on piers or pads which are founded in the Slightly Weathered DOLERITE Bedrock at 0.5 to 0.6 m depth or greater.

Wind Load Classification

The AS 4055-2021 Wind loads for Housing classification is summarised.

Region:	Α
Terrain category:	TC2
Shielding Classification:	PS
Topographic Classification:	Т2
Wind Classification:	N3
Design Wind Gust Speed (Vh,u) m/s	50

I recommend that during construction, I and/or the design engineer are notified of any major variation in the foundation conditions as predicted in this report.

Kris Taylor, BSc (hons) Environmental & Engineering Geologist



Site Investigation

The Site investigation is summarised in Table 1.

Table .	1	Summar	vc	of Site	In	vesti	aation
10010	-	Contract .	, ~	<i>y oicc</i>			,

Client	Sheds n Homes
Project Address	283 Phipps Road - Runnymede
Council	Southern Midlands
Planning Scheme	Tasmanian Planning Scheme
Inundation, Erosion or Landslip Overlays	Low Landslip Hazard Code
Proposed	Dwelling
Investigation	Fieldwork was carried out by an Engineering Geologist on the 12/11/2024
Site Topography	The building site has a gentle slope of approximately 7% (4°) to the northeast
Site Drainage	The site receives overland flow runoff directly from the southwest.
Soil Profiling	Three investigation holes were direct push sampled around the proposed dwelling (Appendix A):
Investigation Depths	The target excavation depth was estimated at 2.3 m. Borehole BH01 was direct push sampled to 0.5 m, Borehole BH02 was direct push sampled to 0.6 m, and Borehole BH03 was direct push sampled to 0.6 m (all ending in DOLERITE). Borehole logs and photos are presented in Appendix B & C.
Soil moisture and	Recovered soil at the site was moist at the time of the investigation. Groundwater
groundwater	was not encountered.
Geology	According to 1:250,000 Mineral Resources Tasmania geological mapping (accessed through The LIST), the geology comprises of: Jurassic Dolerite and related rocks



Soil Profiles

The geology of the site has been documented and described according to Australian Standard AS1726 for Geotechnical Site Investigations, which includes the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Soil layers, and where applicable, bedrock layers, are summarized in Table 2.

Table	2	Soil	Summarv	Table
rubic	~	5011	Summary	rubic

#	Layer	Details	USCS	BH01	BH02	BH03
1	Silty GRAVEL	FILL: Silty GRAVEL with clay, trace sand, very dark grey, well sorted, fine to medium grained sand; subrounded gravel; 65% DOLERITE cobbles/boulders	GM		0-0.1 DS@0.0	
2	Silty Gravelly CLAY	SOIL & BOULDERS/COBBLES: Silty Gravelly CLAY, very dark olive brown, well sorted, medium plasticity, trace roots, 5 % roots; sub-angular gravel; 25% DOLERITE boulders/cobbles	CI	0-0.4 DS@0.1		
3	Silty GRAVEL	SOIL & COBBLES: Silty GRAVEL, very dark brown, well sorted, fine to medium grained sand, with clay, trace roots, 5 % roots; sub-rounded gravel; 10% DOLERITE cobbles	GM		0.1-0.5 DS@0.2	0-0.4
4	Silty GRAVEL	SOIL & COBBLES/BOULDERS: Silty GRAVEL with clay, trace sand, grey, well sorted, coarse grained sand; sub-angular gravel; 70% DOLERITE cobbles/boulders	GM		REF	0.4-0.5
5	DOLERITE	Slightly Weathered DOLERITE Bedrock, EH (rock strenght inferred from BH01,0.4)		0.4-0.5 PL@0.4 REF	0.5-0.6 DS@0.5 REF	0.5-0.6 REF
Consi	istency ¹ V	'S Very soft; S Soft; F Firm; St Stiff; Vst Very Stiff; H Hard. Cons	istency value	es are based o	n soil strength	IS AT THE TIME OF

Consistency¹ VS Very soft; S Soft; F Firm; St Stiff; Vst Very Stiff; H Hard. Consistency values are based on soil strengths AT THE TIME OF TESTING and is subject to variability based on field moisture condition

Density² VL Very loose: L Loose: MD Medium dense: D Dense: VD Very Dense

Density-	VL very loose; L Loose; MD Medium dense; D Dense; VD very Dense
Rock Strength	EL Extremely Low; VL Very Low; L Low; M Medium; H High; VH Very High; EH Extremely High
PL	Point load test (lump)
DS	Disturbed sample
PV	Pocket vane shear test
FV	Downhole field vane shear test
U50	Undisturbed 48mm diameter core sample collected for laboratory testing.
REF	Borehole refusal
INF	DCP has continued through this layer and the geology has been inferred.

¹ Soil consistencies are derived from a combination of field index, DCP and shear vane readings.

² Soil density descriptions presented in engineering logs are derived from the DCP testing.



Recommendations

Plumbing

Refer to hydraulic design drawings for detailed plumbing advice and requirements.

Refer to Table 3 to assess soil movement (Ys) around pipework for different depth ranges.

Table 3 Millimetres soil movement (Ys) for determining plumbing requirements for various soil depths *

Building	Profiles	Р*	E Ys >75	H2 Ys 60-75	H1 Ys 40-60	M Ys 20-40	S Ys 0-20	A Ys O
Dwelling	BH01 BH02	No					0-0.5	0.5-3

* Depths in this table are based on surfaces at the time of testing and do not allow for the influence of any additional fill added to the soil profile. If additional fill is proposed to be added at these locations, then the reactivity will need to be recalculated depending on the thickness and reactivity of any additional fill added.

Plumbing – Class P

This standard applies to buildings located on Sites which are classified as Class P according to Table 3 or are to be reclassified as Class P as detailed above due to proposed fill works.

Where Class P is applicable, all sanitary drains and fixtures are to be designed in accordance with Appendix G of AS3500.2.

With Class P sites, consideration is given to factors including but not limited to, filled/cut sites, soil dispersion, slope instability or loose/collapsing soils, soft clay/silt soils.

Plumbing – Class A and S

When pipework falls within the Class A to S depth range as shown in Table 3, and all Class P management measures have been implemented, the drainage system does not require any additional protection and should be installed following the AS/NZS 3500 series standards.

Site Drainage

As part of the building design plan, drains are recommended upslope of earth retaining structures, soil cuts, filled areas and the proposed building Site to capture and divert Site stormwater flow.

Ideally, the areas around the footprint of the building should be graded or drained so that the water cannot pond against or near the building. As soon as footing construction has been completed, the ground immediately adjacent to the building should be graded to a uniform fall of 50mm minimum away from the building over the first metre. The final provision of paving to the edge of the building can greatly limit soil moisture variations due to seasonal wetting and drying.

Wastewater

The saturated soil permeability is estimated to be at a rate of 21mm per hour. Soil overlying the bedrock is generally classified as Category 4 sandy clay loam.

Temporary Site Drainage

It is recommended that drainage protection works (cut off drains/mounds) are put in place above (upgradient of) the work area to prevent water and sediment from accumulating in and around footings and reduce the risk of erosion and instability around any proposed earth retaining structures.



Trees

Tree roots are required to be removed from the proposed building envelope which will cause disturbance to the soil profile and settlement. Large trees within a radius of 10 m of the building envelope will need to be removed, as these can cause differential movement in the soil due to capillary suction, resulting in differential foundation movement.

Rock Excavatability

Rock excavation should be avoided where possible. It is recommended that a large (~20 tone) excavator equipped with a rock breaker is required if bedrock is to be removed.

Permanent Cut Batters – Soil and Rock

To ensure that cuts remain serviceable, it is recommended that unretained cuts in soil do not exceed 1V: 2H and unsupported baters in bedrock do not exceed 2V: 1H. Before cuts are approached by workers, cuts must be appropriately scaled to remove any loose soil and rock. The bedrock should not be increased beyond 2.0 m height relative to depth below natural level, without inspection by a suitably qualified person to ensure that these cuts are safe to work under.

Filling Works

In the case where filling works are proposed at the Site:

- Subject to compaction control, all footings (edge beams, internal beams, and load support thickenings) must be founded on natural ground through:
 - FILL OTHER THAN SAND not exceeding 0.4 m depth. If FILL OTHER THAN SAND is to exceed 0.4 m depth, then a Class P applies (AS2870 Clauses 2.5.2 and 2.5.3), with footings designed in accordance with engineering specifications.
 - SAND FILL not exceeding 0.8 m depth. If SAND FILL is to exceed 0.8 m depth, then a Class P applies (AS2870 Clauses 2.5.2 and 2.5.3), with footings designed in accordance with engineering specifications.
- Where readily available, SAND FILL is always recommended rather than fill containing SILT or CLAY.
- Compacted CLAY or SAND FILL on well drained slopes should not exceed 1V:2H unless supported by an engineered retaining wall.
- Compacted SILT fill on well drained slopes should not exceed 1V:4H unless supported by an engineered retaining wall.
- Compacted stable rock fill on well drained slopes should not exceed 2V:3H unless supported by an engineered retaining wall.
- Any proposed filling works must be in accordance with AS3798 'Earthworks for Residential and Commercial Developments'.
- Before placing fill for landscaping, all topsoil should be removed from the filled area.
- Ideally, the fill should be free draining and placed to prevent water ponding. The fill should be placed in layers no greater than 150mm height and suitably compacted.

Long-term erosion management

The following measures are generally recommended for maintaining long-term erosion stability of soil slopes:

• Slopes exceeding 1V: 4H and up to 1V: 3H will need to be effectively stabilised with mulch/topsoil mixes, drill/broadcast seeding, hydroseeding or soil binders.





- Slopes up to 1V:2Hcan be stabilised with straw mulching.
- Slopes exceeding 1V: 2H and up to 1V:1.5H may be effectively stabilised with hydromulching
- Slopes exceeding 1V:1.5H but no greater than 1V: 1H will generally require measures such as erosion control blankets.

Earth-Retaining Structures

Any excavations higher than 1.0m and exceeding the recommended batter angle should be supported with a retaining wall engineered that allows free drainage of the retained soil and rock.

Building Pad Preparation

Any organic matter or other deleterious materials will need to be removed from the building envelope.

Unless otherwise stated in an engineering report, fill or loose, soft, low bearing capacity soil should either be removed from the building pad, or otherwise footings should ideally be established to the base of this material.

Earthworks should be carried out in accordance with AS3798 'Earthworks for Residential and Commercial Developments'. Unsuitable materials in structural fill are listed in AS2870 Section 4.3.

Bulk Pier Excavations – Shallow Bedrock

Where column footings are proposed, bulk pier excavations are recommended (excavated with a bucket rather than an auger).

Foundation Maintenance

Details on appropriate site and foundation maintenance practises from the CSIRO BTF 18 Foundation Maintenance and Footing Performance: A Homeowner's Guide are presented in Appendix F of this report.

Kris Taylor, BSc (hons) Environmental & Engineering Geologist



Notes About Your Assessment

The Site classification provided and footing recommendations including foundation depths are assessed based on the subsurface profile conditions present at the time of fieldwork and may vary according to any subsequent *Site works* carried out. *Site works* may include changes to the existing soil profile by cutting more than 0.5 m and filling more than 0.4 to 0.8 m depending on the type of material and the design of the footing. All footings must be founded through fill *other than* sand not exceeding 0.4 m depth or sand not exceeding 0.8 m depth, or otherwise a Class P applies (AS2870 Clauses 2.5.2 and 2.5.3).

For reference, borehole investigation depths relative to natural soil surface levels are stated in borehole logs where applicable.

In some cases, variations in actual Site conditions may exist between subsurface investigation boreholes. At the time of construction, if conditions exist which differ from those described in this report, it is recommended that the base of all footing excavations be inspected to ensure that the founding medium meets the requirement referenced herein or stipulated by an engineer before any footings are poured.

The site classification assumes that the performance requirements as set out in Appendix B of AS 2870 are acceptable and that site foundation maintenance is carried out to avoid extreme wetting and drying.

It is the responsibility of the homeowner to ensure that the soil conditions are maintained and that abnormal moisture conditions do not develop around the building. The following are examples of poor practises that can result in abnormal soil conditions:

- The effect of trees being too close to a footing.
- Excessive or irregular watering of gardens adjacent to the building.
- Failure to maintain Site drainage.
- Failure to repair plumbing leaks.
- Loss of vegetation near the building.

The pages that make up the last six pages of this report are an integral part of this report. The notes contain advice and recommendations for all stakeholders in this project (i.e. the structural engineer, builder, owner, and future owners) and should be read and followed by all concerned.

References

AS 1289.6.3.2-2003 Soil strength and consolidation tests - Determination of the penetration resistance of a soil - 9 kg dynamic cone penetrometer test, Standards Australia, Sydney, Retrieved from SAI Global

AS 1289.7.1.1-2003 Methods of testing soils for engineering purposes Method 7.1.1: Soil reactivity tests— Determination of the shrinkage index of a soil—Shrink-swell index, Standards Australia, Sydney, Retrieved from SAI Global

AS 1726-2017, Geotechnical Site investigations, Standards Australia, Sydney, Retrieved from SAI Global

AS 2870-2011, Residential slabs and footings, Standards Australia, Sydney, Retrieved from SAI Global

AS4055 (2021). Australian Standard. Prepared by Committee BD-099, Wind Loads for Housing. Approved on behalf of the Council of Standards Australia on 1st June 2021 and published on 25th June 2021.

DPIPWE 2009. Dispersive Soils and their Management. Technical Reference Manual. Sustainable Land Use Department of Primary Industries Water and Environment.

Webster, S.L., Brown, R.W. and Porter, J.R. (1994) Force Projection Site Evaluation Using the Electric Cone Penetrometer (ECP) and the Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP). Technical Report No. GL-94-17, Air Force Civil Engineering Support Agency, US Air Force, Tyndall Air Force Base, FL.

Appendix A Mapping



Figure 1 Planning Scheme Landslip Hazard Overlay Mapping, Proposed Building and Works & Photo Locations



Appendix B Borehole Logs

(en	viro-tech consultants	ASSESSMENT: Fou STRUCTURE: Dv EASTING: 54750 NORTHING: 5275	undation welling 2 5895	HORIZ ACCU	ation ZONTAL IRACY: 1r	n	F C L E	IOL ATI OG	.e ti gei /At	ID I EST D B'	NO ED: Y: N I: 4	: BH 12/11 1. Scal 18.00	01 /2024 lisi
LC		TION: 283 Phipps Road T: Sheds n Homes	I - Runnymede			EQUIP	MEN	IT: (Core	e & . CE	Aug (RL	er .):		
DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION		LAYER	DENSITY	MOISTU	RE %	SAMPLES	TEST	Cu (kPa)	UCS (kg/cm ²)	BLOW COUNT	blows/	100mm 2 2 2
0.0	CL	SOIL & BOULDERS/0 Gravelly CLAY, very o well sorted, medium p roots, 5 % roots, grav grained, sub-angular; boulders/cobbles	COBBLES: Silty dark olive brown, plasticity, trace el 35%, medium 25% DOLERITE	2	very stiff	Moist	26	DS	PP		2.9			
0.5		Slightly Weathered Do very dark grey	OLERITE Bedrock	5	very high			ਛ	IS 50					
		Direct Push Sampler I Weathered DOLERIT End of borehole at 0.5	Refusal on Slightly E Bedrock 5m depth.											
									1					
GRC TES Whe DS -	TING re blov	WATER: Not Encountere : ws per 100mm are less than led Sample; U50 - Undisturbed 50	d 1, distance travelled per p Jmm Core; FV - Field Vane; P	enetrom P - Pock	eter blow is el Penetrome	measured a	ind co	nver n Bea	ted to aring f	o blo Ratio	ws p	Pa er 11 Poci	AGE 1 DOmm. Set Vane	of 1

e	en	viro-tech consultants	ASSESSMENT: Fou STRUCTURE: Dv EASTING: 54751 NORTHING: 5275	undatior velling 6 5885	n Classifica HORIZ ACCU	ation ZONTAL IRACY: 1n	n	H D L E		E TE GEI /AT	D I EST D B	NO ED Y: N I: 4	.: BH : 12/1 // Sca 18.00	102 1/2024 alisi
LC		TION: 283 Phipps Road T: Sheds n Homes	I - Runnymede		-	EQUIP	MEN	IT: /	AMS RFA	Po CE	wei (RL	rpro	be 91	20 RAF
DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION		LAYER	DENSITY CONSISTENCY STRENGTH	MOISTUR	RE %	SAMPLES	TEST	Cu (kPa)	UCS (kg/cm ²)	BLOW COUNT	blows	s/100mm 2 \$ 8
0.0	0 G 0 0	FILL: Silty GRAVEL w sand, very dark grey, medium grained sand medium grained, sub- DOLERITE cobbles/b	vith clay, trace well sorted, fine to , gravel 70%, rounded; 65% oulders	1		Dry	3	DS						
	α <u>ααασο</u> Σοσασασο Ο ασασο	SOIL & COBBLES: Si dark brown, well sorte grained sand, with cla roots, gravel 65%, fine grained, sub-rounded cobbles	ilty GRAVEL, very ed, fine to medium ly, trace roots, 5 % e to medium ; 10% DOLERITE	3		Slightly Moist	7	DS						
0.5 -	0 0 0 0	Slightly Weathered D very dark grey	OLERITE Bedrock	5			3	DS						
		Direct Push Sampler I Weathered DOLERIT End of borehole at 0.6	Refusal on Slightly E Bedrock ôm depth.											

Where blows per 100mm are less than 1, distance travelled per penetrometer blow is measured and converted to blows per 100mm. DS - Disturbed Sample; U50 - Undisturbed 50mm Core; FV - Field Vane; PP - Pocket Penetrometer; CBR - Califronian Bearing Ratio; PV - Pocket Vane

	ASSESSMENT: Found STRUCTURE: Dwe EASTING: 547552 NORTHING: 52759 LOCATION: 283 Phipps Road - Runnymede CLIENT: Sheds n Homes				ation Classification HOLE II ling DATE TE HORIZONTAL LOGGED D9 ACCURACY: 1m ELEVATI					ID I EST D B	NO.: BH03 TED: 12/11/2024 BY: M. Scalisi N: 413.00			
LC					EQUIPMEN				T: Power Auger					
DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION		LAYER	DENSITY CONSISTENCY STRENGTH	MOISTUR	RE %	SAMPLES	TEST	Cu (kPa)	UCS (kg/cm ²)	BLOW COUNT	blows/	100mm
0.0	ч ≎ ≎ ≎Ωч ⇒ ≟ ⊕ ⊎ ≂ο≿ε,ο, ≷,0, в,0, в,	SOIL & COBBLES: Si dark brown, well sorte grained sand, with cla roots, gravel 65%, find grained, sub-rounded cobbles	ilty GRAVEL, very ed, fine to medium y, trace roots, 5 % e to medium ; 10% DOLERITE			Slightly Moist								
0.5 -	50 80 90 5	SOIL & COBBLES/BOULDERS: Silty GRAVEL with clay, trace sand, grey, well sorted, coarse grained sand, gravel 70%, medium grained, sub-angular; 70% DOLERITE cobbles/boulders Slightly Weathered DOLERITE Bedrock very dark grey		4		Dry								
		Direct Push Sampler Refusal on Slightly Weathered DOLERITE Bedrock End of borehole at 0.6m depth.												
				1		1					1			
GRC TES Whe DS -	TING re blov	WATER: Not Encountere Permeameter: AS 1289 ws per 100mm are less than bed Sample; U50 - Undisturbed 50	đ 3.6.7.3 1, distance travelled per pe Dmm Core; FV - Field Vane; PP	netrom	neter blow is et Penetrome	i measured ar	nd co fronia	nver n Bea	ted t	o blo Ratio	ws p	P	AGE 1 DOmm	of 1

Appendix C Core Photographs

BH01



BH02



BH03



* 1 metre core tray length

Appendix D Geotechnical Testing

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP)

Dynamic cone penetrometer (DCP) testing was conducted according to AS 1289.6.3.2 with the results presented in Appendix B.

Linear shrinkage

Disturbed soil samples (DS) are collected for linear shrinkage testing with soil laboratory testing conducted according to AS 1289.3.4.1. The results of the linear shrinkage tests are presented in Table 4.

Table 🚪 Linear shrinkage index test results

Layers	Soil	Hole ID	Depth (m)	Field Moisture %	Linear Shrinkage %
2	Silty Gravelly CLAY	BH01	0.1-0.2	26.5	9*

* Testing conducted by Envirotech

Soil Dispersion (Emerson aggregate test)

Select soil samples were tested for sodicity using the Emerson Class number method according to AS1289.3.8.1. The results presented in Table 5 demonstrate that:

• Most of the samples collected from the Site were either not dispersive (Emerson Class 4 or greater) or were slightly dispersive (Emerson Class 3). Therefore, no specific management measures are required.

It is recommended that the dispersive soils are adequately managed corresponding to the Emerson Class number and pH value, as detailed in the recommendations section of this report.

Table 5 Summary of the Emerson class results.

Layer	Soil	Depth	Sample ID	Emersion Class	Date Tested	Water	рН
1	Silty GRAVEL	0	BH02 0.0	Class >4	13/11/2024	DI 24°C	
2	Silty Gravelly CLAY	0.1	BH01 0.1	Class 3	13/11/2024	DI 24°C	6.17
3	Silty GRAVEL	0.2	BH02 0.2	Class >4	13/11/2024	DI 24°C	

Permeameter Testing

Permeameter testing was carried out in borehole BH03. A soil auger was used to excavate the Soil to prepare for the test to ensure the soak well was effectively draining. Where applicable, the reported water table height has been used as the test depth. Results are presented Table 6.

Table 6 Permeameter testing results.

Borehole	Hole Depth	Hole Diameter	Test Duration	Flow Rate	Ksat	Ksat
	(m)	(mm)	(min)	(cm3/min)	(m/day)	(mm/hr)
BH03	0.5	65	3.5	189.9	5.0E-01	20.8

Rock Point Load Testing

Rock samples collected from the Project Area were tested using a digital rock point load tester which has been manufactured in accordance with AS 4133.4.1. The 'lump' sample method and calculation have been used in the tests.

A dolerite rock sample was collected from the building pad within the Project Area. The dolerite is inferred to have a very high rock strength based on interpretation of the point load testing results (Table 7).

Where possible, excavations should be avoided.

Table 7 Point load index testing results.

	Units	BH01
Depth	m	0.400
Layer		5
Test	MPa (IS50)	15.026
Index		VH

Appendix E Geotechnical Interpretation

Footing Minimum Target Depths

Footing design for the proposed structures are to consider the depths of limiting layers at the base of Class P soils where present. Where practical/allowable, thickened beams may be deepened through problematic soil layers according to engineering specifications (Table 8). **Error! Reference source not found.** should be referred to where only 50kPa allowable bearing capacity is required.

Table 8 also presents a summary of the estimated soil depths and associated layers where less than 5mm of vertical soil movement can expected due to soil moisture fluctuations from normal seasonal wetting and drying cycles. Where 5mm tolerances are required, concentrated loads including but not limited to slab edge or internal beam or strip footings shall be supported directly on piers in accordance with minimum target layer depths presented in Table 8, with considerations given to required bearing capacities in accordance with **Error! Reference source not found.**

Table Soil characteristic surface movements and recommended footing minimum target depths

Footing design parameters	BH01	BH02
Iss Calculation Depth [^]	0.00	0.00
Surface movement Ys (mm)	15	5
Soil class	S	S
Base of problem soil layer (m)*	-	-
Layer at base of problem soil*	-	-
Pier/Footing recommended target depth (m)#	0.5	0.6
Pier/footing recommended target layer#	5	5

- No problem layers encountered

*Base of problematic soil layer depth at test location below top of borehole surface to achieve 100 kPa allowable bearing capacity or greater.

Target soil layer depth where Ys values from normal wetting and drying cycles are estimated at less than 5mm vertical movement

^ Calculated based on depth below cut (with negative value) or above fill (with positive value) borehole drilling depth. Inferred fill reactivity will be conservatively assessment unless requested otherwise.

Soil and Rock Allowable Bearing Capacity

Soil allowable bearing capacity is normally calculated with the use of a dynamic cone penetrometer. At the time of Site inspection, given the soil was dry and the bedrock was shallow, a decision was made not to DCP test the soil given the sub optimal moisture range to allow for the provision of meaningful results.

An allowable bearing capacity of more than 5,000kPa is expected on the bedrock.

Foundation Maintenance and Footing Performance: A Homeowner's Guide



BTF 18 replaces Information Sheet 10/91

Buildings can and often do move. This movement can be up, down, lateral or rotational. The fundamental cause of movement in buildings can usually be related to one or more problems in the foundation soil. It is important for the homeowner to identify the soil type in order to ascertain the measures that should be put in place in order to ensure that problems in the foundation soil can be prevented, thus protecting against building movement.

This Building Technology File is designed to identify causes of soil-related building movement, and to suggest methods of prevention of resultant cracking in buildings.

Soil Types

The types of soils usually present under the topsoil in land zoned for residential buildings can be split into two approximate groups – granular and clay. Quite often, foundation soil is a mixture of both types. The general problems associated with soils having granular content are usually caused by erosion. Clay soils are subject to saturation and swell/shrink problems.

Classifications for a given area can generally be obtained by application to the local authority, but these are sometimes unreliable and if there is doubt, a geotechnical report should be commissioned. As most buildings suffering movement problems are founded on clay soils, there is an emphasis on classification of soils according to the amount of swell and shrinkage they experience with variations of water content. The table below is Table 2.1 from AS 2870, the Residential Slab and Footing Code.

Causes of Movement

Settlement due to construction

There are two types of settlement that occur as a result of construction:

- Immediate settlement occurs when a building is first placed on its foundation soil, as a result of compaction of the soil under the weight of the structure. The cohesive quality of clay soil mitigates against this, but granular (particularly sandy) soil is susceptible.
- Consolidation settlement is a feature of clay soil and may take place because of the expulsion of moisture from the soil or because of the soil's lack of resistance to local compressive or shear stresses. This will usually take place during the first few months after construction, but has been known to take many years in exceptional cases.

These problems are the province of the builder and should be taken into consideration as part of the preparation of the site for construction. Building Technology File 19 (BTF 19) deals with these problems.

Erosion

All soils are prone to erosion, but sandy soil is particularly susceptible to being washed away. Even clay with a sand component of say 10% or more can suffer from erosion.

Saturation

This is particularly a problem in clay soils. Saturation creates a boglike suspension of the soil that causes it to lose virtually all of its bearing capacity. To a lesser degree, sand is affected by saturation because saturated sand may undergo a reduction in volume – particularly imported sand fill for bedding and blinding layers. However, this usually occurs as immediate settlement and should normally be the province of the builder.

Seasonal swelling and shrinkage of soil

All clays react to the presence of water by slowly absorbing it, making the soil increase in volume (see table below). The degree of increase varies considerably between different clays, as does the degree of decrease during the subsequent drying out caused by fair weather periods. Because of the low absorption and expulsion rate, this phenomenon will not usually be noticeable unless there are prolonged rainy or dry periods, usually of weeks or months, depending on the land and soil characteristics.

The swelling of soil creates an upward force on the footings of the building, and shrinkage creates subsidence that takes away the support needed by the footing to retain equilibrium.

Shear failure

This phenomenon occurs when the foundation soil does not have sufficient strength to support the weight of the footing. There are two major post-construction causes:

- Significant load increase.
- Reduction of lateral support of the soil under the footing due to erosion or excavation.
- In clay soil, shear failure can be caused by saturation of the soil adjacent to or under the footing.

GENERAL DEFINITIONS OF SITE CLASSES						
Class	Foundation					
A	Most sand and rock sites with little or no ground movement from moisture changes					
S	Slightly reactive clay sites with only slight ground movement from moisture changes					
М	Moderately reactive clay or silt sites, which can experience moderate ground movement from moisture changes					
н	Highly reactive clay sites, which can experience high ground movement from moisture changes					
Е	Extremely reactive sites, which can experience extreme ground movement from moisture changes					
A to P	Filled sites					
Р	Sites which include soft soils, such as soft clay or silt or loose sands; landslip; mine subsidence; collapsing soils; soils subject to erosion; reactive sites subject to abnormal moisture conditions or sites which cannot be classified otherwise					

Tree root growth

Trees and shrubs that are allowed to grow in the vicinity of footings can cause foundation soil movement in two ways:

- Roots that grow under footings may increase in cross-sectional size, exerting upward pressure on footings.
- Roots in the vicinity of footings will absorb much of the moisture in the foundation soil, causing shrinkage or subsidence.

Unevenness of Movement

The types of ground movement described above usually occur unevenly throughout the building's foundation soil. Settlement due to construction tends to be uneven because of:

- Differing compaction of foundation soil prior to construction.
- Differing moisture content of foundation soil prior to construction.

Movement due to non-construction causes is usually more uneven still. Erosion can undermine a footing that traverses the flow or can create the conditions for shear failure by eroding soil adjacent to a footing that runs in the same direction as the flow.

Saturation of clay foundation soil may occur where subfloor walls create a dam that makes water pond. It can also occur wherever there is a source of water near footings in clay soil. This leads to a severe reduction in the strength of the soil which may create local shear failure.

Seasonal swelling and shrinkage of clay soil affects the perimeter of the building first, then gradually spreads to the interior. The swelling process will usually begin at the uphill extreme of the building, or on the weather side where the land is flat. Swelling gradually reaches the interior soil as absorption continues. Shrinkage usually begins where the sun's heat is greatest.

Effects of Uneven Soil Movement on Structures

Erosion and saturation

Erosion removes the support from under footings, tending to create subsidence of the part of the structure under which it occurs. Brickwork walls will resist the stress created by this removal of support by bridging the gap or cantilevering until the bricks or the mortar bedding fail. Older masonry has little resistance. Evidence of failure varies according to circumstances and symptoms may include:

- Step cracking in the mortar beds in the body of the wall or above/below openings such as doors or windows.
- Vertical cracking in the bricks (usually but not necessarily in line with the vertical beds or perpends).

Isolated piers affected by erosion or saturation of foundations will eventually lose contact with the bearers they support and may tilt or fall over. The floors that have lost this support will become bouncy, sometimes rattling ornaments etc.

Seasonal swelling/shrinkage in clay

Swelling foundation soil due to rainy periods first lifts the most exposed extremities of the footing system, then the remainder of the perimeter footings while gradually permeating inside the building footprint to lift internal footings. This swelling first tends to create a dish effect, because the external footings are pushed higher than the internal ones.

The first noticeable symptom may be that the floor appears slightly dished. This is often accompanied by some doors binding on the floor or the door head, together with some cracking of cornice mitres. In buildings with timber flooring supported by bearers and joists, the floor can be bouncy. Externally there may be visible dishing of the hip or ridge lines.

As the moisture absorption process completes its journey to the innermost areas of the building, the internal footings will rise. If the spread of moisture is roughly even, it may be that the symptoms will temporarily disappear, but it is more likely that swelling will be uneven, creating a difference rather than a disappearance in symptoms. In buildings with timber flooring supported by bearers and joists, the isolated piers will rise more easily than the strip footings or piers under walls, creating noticeable doming of flooring.



As the weather pattern changes and the soil begins to dry out, the external footings will be first affected, beginning with the locations where the sun's effect is strongest. This has the effect of lowering the external footings. The doming is accentuated and cracking reduces or disappears where it occurred because of dishing, but other cracks open up. The roof lines may become convex.

Doming and dishing are also affected by weather in other ways. In areas where warm, wet summers and cooler dry winters prevail, water migration tends to be toward the interior and doming will be accentuated, whereas where summers are dry and winters are cold and wet, migration tends to be toward the exterior and the underlying propensity is toward dishing.

Movement caused by tree roots

In general, growing roots will exert an upward pressure on footings, whereas soil subject to drying because of tree or shrub roots will tend to remove support from under footings by inducing shrinkage.

Complications caused by the structure itself

Most forces that the soil causes to be exerted on structures are vertical – i.e. either up or down. However, because these forces are seldom spread evenly around the footings, and because the building resists uneven movement because of its rigidity, forces are exerted from one part of the building to another. The net result of all these forces is usually rotational. This resultant force often complicates the diagnosis because the visible symptoms do not simply reflect the original cause. A common symptom is binding of doors on the vertical member of the frame.

Effects on full masonry structures

Brickwork will resist cracking where it can. It will attempt to span areas that lose support because of subsided foundations or raised points. It is therefore usual to see cracking at weak points, such as openings for windows or doors.

In the event of construction settlement, cracking will usually remain unchanged after the process of settlement has ceased.

With local shear or erosion, cracking will usually continue to develop until the original cause has been remedied, or until the subsidence has completely neutralised the affected portion of footing and the structure has stabilised on other footings that remain effective.

In the case of swell/shrink effects, the brickwork will in some cases return to its original position after completion of a cycle, however it is more likely that the rotational effect will not be exactly reversed, and it is also usual that brickwork will settle in its new position and will resist the forces trying to return it to its original position. This means that in a case where swelling takes place after construction and cracking occurs, the cracking is likely to at least partly remain after the shrink segment of the cycle is complete. Thus, each time the cycle is repeated, the likelihood is that the cracking will become wider until the sections of brickwork become virtually independent.

With repeated cycles, once the cracking is established, if there is no other complication, it is normal for the incidence of cracking to stabilise, as the building has the articulation it needs to cope with the problem. This is by no means always the case, however, and monitoring of cracks in walls and floors should always be treated seriously.

Upheaval caused by growth of tree roots under footings is not a simple vertical shear stress. There is a tendency for the root to also exert lateral forces that attempt to separate sections of brickwork after initial cracking has occurred.

The normal structural arrangement is that the inner leaf of brickwork in the external walls and at least some of the internal walls (depending on the roof type) comprise the load-bearing structure on which any upper floors, ceilings and the roof are supported. In these cases, it is internally visible cracking that should be the main focus of attention, however there are a few examples of dwellings whose external leaf of masonry plays some supporting role, so this should be checked if there is any doubt. In any case, externally visible cracking is important as a guide to stresses on the structure generally, and it should also be remembered that the external walls must be capable of supporting themselves.

Effects on framed structures

Timber or steel framed buildings are less likely to exhibit cracking due to swell/shrink than masonry buildings because of their flexibility. Also, the doming/dishing effects tend to be lower because of the lighter weight of walls. The main risks to framed buildings are encountered because of the isolated pier footings used under walls. Where erosion or saturation cause a footing to fall away, this can double the span which a wall must bridge. This additional stress can create cracking in wall linings, particularly where there is a weak point in the structure caused by a door or window opening. It is, however, unlikely that framed structures will be so stressed as to suffer serious damage without first exhibiting some or all of the above symptoms for a considerable period. The same warning period should apply in the case of upheaval. It should be noted, however, that where framed buildings are supported by strip footings there is only one leaf of brickwork and therefore the externally visible walls are the supporting structure for the building. In this case, the subfloor masonry walls can be expected to behave as full brickwork walls.

Effects on brick veneer structures

Because the load-bearing structure of a brick veneer building is the frame that makes up the interior leaf of the external walls plus perhaps the internal walls, depending on the type of roof, the building can be expected to behave as a framed structure, except that the external masonry will behave in a similar way to the external leaf of a full masonry structure.

Water Service and Drainage

Where a water service pipe, a sewer or stormwater drainage pipe is in the vicinity of a building, a water leak can cause erosion, swelling or saturation of susceptible soil. Even a minuscule leak can be enough to saturate a clay foundation. A leaking tap near a building can have the same effect. In addition, trenches containing pipes can become watercourses even though backfilled, particularly where broken rubble is used as fill. Water that runs along these trenches can be responsible for serious erosion, interstrata seepage into subfloor areas and saturation.

Pipe leakage and trench water flows also encourage tree and shrub roots to the source of water, complicating and exacerbating the problem.

Poor roof plumbing can result in large volumes of rainwater being concentrated in a small area of soil:

 Incorrect falls in roof guttering may result in overflows, as may gutters blocked with leaves etc.

- Corroded guttering or downpipes can spill water to ground.
- Downpipes not positively connected to a proper stormwater collection system will direct a concentration of water to soil that is directly adjacent to footings, sometimes causing large-scale problems such as erosion, saturation and migration of water under the building.

Seriousness of Cracking

In general, most cracking found in masonry walls is a cosmetic nuisance only and can be kept in repair or even ignored. The table below is a reproduction of Table C1 of AS 2870.

AS 2870 also publishes figures relating to cracking in concrete floors, however because wall cracking will usually reach the critical point significantly earlier than cracking in slabs, this table is not reproduced here.

Prevention/Cure

Plumbing

Where building movement is caused by water service, roof plumbing, sever or stormwater failure, the remedy is to repair the problem. It is prudent, however, to consider also rerouting pipes away from the building where possible, and relocating taps to positions where any leakage will not direct water to the building vicinity. Even where gully traps are present, there is sometimes sufficient spill to create erosion or saturation, particularly in modern installations using smaller diameter PVC fixtures. Indeed, some gully traps are not situated directly under the taps that are installed to charge them, with the result that water from the tap may enter the backfilled trench that houses the sewer piping. If the trench has been poorly backfilled, the water will either pond or flow along the bottom of the trench. As these trenches usually run alongside the footings and can be at a similar depth, it is not hard to see how any water that is thus directed into a trench can easily affect the foundation's ability to support footings or even gain entry to the subfloor area.

Ground drainage

In all soils there is the capacity for water to travel on the surface and below it. Surface water flows can be established by inspection during and after heavy or prolonged rain. If necessary, a grated drain system connected to the stormwater collection system is usually an easy solution.

It is, however, sometimes necessary when attempting to prevent water migration that testing be carried out to establish watertable height and subsoil water flows. This subject is referred to in BTF 19 and may properly be regarded as an area for an expert consultant.

Protection of the building perimeter

It is essential to remember that the soil that affects footings extends well beyond the actual building line. Watering of garden plants, shrubs and trees causes some of the most serious water problems.

For this reason, particularly where problems exist or are likely to occur, it is recommended that an apron of paving be installed around as much of the building perimeter as necessary. This paving

Description of typical damage and required repair	Approximate crack width limit (see Note 3)	Damage category
Hairline cracks	⊲0.1 mm	0
Fine cracks which do not need repair	<1 mm	1
Cracks noticeable but easily filled. Doors and windows stick slightly	<5 mm	2
Cracks can be repaired and possibly a small amount of wall will need to be replaced. Doors and windows stick. Service pipes can fracture. Weathertightness often impaired	5–15 mm (or a number of cracks 3 mm or more in one group)	3
Extensive repair work involving breaking-out and replacing sections of walls, especially over doors and windows. Window and door frames distort. Walls lean or bulge noticeably, some loss of bearing in beams. Service pipes disrupted	15–25 mm but also depend on number of cracks	4



should extend outwards a minimum of 900 mm (more in highly reactive soil) and should have a minimum fall away from the building of 1:60. The finished paving should be no less than 100 mm below brick vent bases.

It is prudent to relocate drainage pipes away from this paving, if possible, to avoid complications from future leakage. If this is not practical, earthenware pipes should be replaced by PVC and backfilling should be of the same soil type as the surrounding soil and compacted to the same density.

Except in areas where freezing of water is an issue, it is wise to remove taps in the building area and relocate them well away from the building – preferably not uphill from it (see BTF 19).

It may be desirable to install a grated drain at the outside edge of the paving on the uphill side of the building. If subsoil drainage is needed this can be installed under the surface drain.

Condensation

In buildings with a subfloor void such as where bearers and joists support flooring, insufficient ventilation creates ideal conditions for condensation, particularly where there is little clearance between the floor and the ground. Condensation adds to the moisture already present in the subfloor and significantly slows the process of drying out. Installation of an adequate subfloor ventilation system, either natural or mechanical, is desirable.

Warning: Although this Building Technology File deals with cracking in buildings, it should be said that subfloor moisture can result in the development of other problems, notably:

- Water that is transmitted into masonry, metal or timber building elements causes damage and/or decay to those elements.
- High subfloor humidity and moisture content create an ideal environment for various pests, including termites and spiders.
- Where high moisture levels are transmitted to the flooring and walls, an increase in the dust mite count can ensue within the living areas. Dust mites, as well as dampness in general, can be a health hazard to inhabitants, particularly those who are abnormally susceptible to respiratory ailments.

The garden

The ideal vegetation layout is to have lawn or plants that require only light watering immediately adjacent to the drainage or paving edge, then more demanding plants, shrubs and trees spread out in that order.

Overwatering due to misuse of automatic watering systems is a common cause of saturation and water migration under footings. If it is necessary to use these systems, it is important to remove garden beds to a completely safe distance from buildings.

Existing trees

Where a tree is causing a problem of soil drying or there is the existence or threat of upheaval of footings, if the offending roots are subsidiary and their removal will not significantly damage the tree, they should be severed and a concrete or metal barrier placed vertically in the soil to prevent future root growth in the direction of the building. If it is not possible to remove the relevant roots without damage to the tree, an application to remove the tree should be made to the local authority. A prudent plan is to transplant likely offenders before they become a problem.

Information on trees, plants and shrubs

State departments overseeing agriculture can give information regarding root patterns, volume of water needed and safe distance from buildings of most species. Botanic gardens are also sources of information. For information on plant roots and drains, see Building Technology File 17.

Excavation

Excavation around footings must be properly engineered. Soil supporting footings can only be safely excavated at an angle that allows the soil under the footing to remain stable. This angle is called the angle of repose (or friction) and varies significantly between soil types and conditions. Removal of soil within the angle of repose will cause subsidence.

Remediation

Where erosion has occurred that has washed away soil adjacent to footings, soil of the same classification should be introduced and compacted to the same density. Where footings have been undermined, augmentation or other specialist work may be required. Remediation of footings and foundations is generally the realm of a specialist consultant.

Where isolated footings rise and fall because of swell/shrink effect, the homeowner may be tempted to alleviate floor bounce by filling the gap that has appeared between the bearer and the pier with blocking. The danger here is that when the next swell segment of the cycle occurs, the extra blocking will push the floor up into an accentuated dome and may also cause local shear failure in the soil. If it is necessary to use blocking, it should be by a pair of fine wedges and monitoring should be carried out fortnightly.

This BTF was prepared by John Lewer FAIB, MIAMA, Partner, Construction Diagnosis.

The information in this and other issues in the series was derived from various sources and was believed to be correct when published. The information is advisory. It is provided in good faith and not claimed to be an exhaustive treatment of the relevant subject.

Further professional advice needs to be obtained before taking any action based on the information provided.

Distribute d by

CSIRO PUBLISHING PO Box 1139, Collingwood 3066, Australia

Freecall 1800 645 051 Tel (03) 9662 7666 Fax (03) 9662 7555 www.publish.csim.au

Email: publishing.sales@csiro.au

© CSIRO 2003. Unauthorised copying of this Building Technology file is prohibited

	E OF QUALIFIED F	PERSON –	Α	SSES	SABLE	Se	ection 321
To:	Sheds n Homes				Owner /Agent		EE
	57 Cove Hill Rd				Address	Forn	J J J
	Bridgewater		7	030	Suburb/postcod	9	
Qualified pers	on details:						
Qualified person:	Kris Taylor]		
Address:	162 Macquarie Street				Phone No:	03622	24 9197
	Hobart		70	00	Fax No:		
Licence No:	NA	Email addre	ess:	office	@envirotech	tas.con	n.au
Qualifications and Insurance details:	Bachelor of Science with Geology. Lloyd's Under \$2,000,000: Site classif rock testing. Soil & rock	scription from Column 3 of the actor's Determination - Certificates Qualified Persons for Assessable ns					
Speciality area of expertise:	Foundation classificat accordance with AS 2	Foundation classification in description accordance with AS 2870*					ates Die
Details of wor	k: Foundation Classi	fication					
Address:	283 Phipps Road]	Lot No:	1
	Runnymede		719	90	Certificate of	141076/1	
The assessable item related to this certificate:	Classification of found according to AS2870-		(description of the assessable item being certified) Assessable item includes – - a material; - a design - a form of construction - a document - testing of a component, building system or plumbing system - an inspection, or assessment, performed				
Certificate det	ails:						
Certificate type:	Foundation classification - AS 2870 and Stability R accordance with Foundat Society (Tasmania) Code	eport in ion and Footing of Practice.*	IS	(descript Schedule Determir Qualified Items n)	ion from Column 1 e 1 of the Director's nation - Certificates I Persons for Asse	of s s by ssable	

This certificate is in relation to the above assessable items, at any stage, as part of - (tick one)

• building work, plumbing work or plumbing installation or demolition work

OR

C a building, temporary structure or plumbing installation
In issuing this certificate the following matters are relevant -

Documents:	*Enviro-Tech Consultants Pty. Ltd. 2024. Site Soil Evaluation for Foundations and Wastewater Report for a Proposed Dwelling, 283 Phipps Road - Runnymede. Unpublished report for Sheds n Homes by Enviro-Tech Consultants Pty. Ltd., 12/11/2024.	
Relevant		
calculations:		
ouroundiorior		
References:	AS2870-2011 Residential Slabs and Footings	
	AS1726-2017 Geotechnical site investigations	
	AS1289-2014 Methods of testing soils for engineering purposes	
	CSIRO Building technology file – 18	
Substance of Certificate: (what it is that is being certified)		
Foundation classi	fication consistent with AS2870-2011.	
	-	

Scope and/or Limitations

The classification applies to the Site as inspected and does not account for future alteration to foundation conditions as a result of earth works, placement of fill, uncontrolled earthworks, drainage condition changes, variations in site maintenance other than indicated in supplied plans.

*This report contains soil classification information prepared in accordance with AS2870 as well as AS2870 extracts which may be used as general guidance for plumbing design. The hydraulic designer is to use their own judgment in the application of this information and this report must be read in in conjunction with hydraulic plans prepared for the proposed development.

I certify the matters described in this certificate.

Qualified person:

Signed:	
Ktuyh	

ertificate No:		Date:
		12/11/202

Δ

Director of Building Control – Date Approved 1 July 2017

To:	Sheds n Homes			Owner /Agent		
	57 Cove Hill Rd			Address Form		.55
	Bridgewater	70	30	Suburb/postcod		
Qualified perse	on details:					
Qualified person:	Kris Taylor			1	_	
Address:	162 Macquarie Street			Phone No	03622	24 9197
	Hobart	70	00	Fax No:		
Licence No:	NA Email a	ddress:	office	@envirotecht	as.cor	n.au
Qualifications and Insurance details:	Bachelor of Science with honours in geology, 25 years environmental geology experience, PI Insurance to \$2,000,000 in environmental geology			otes Die		
Speciality area of expertise:	Site and soil evaluation and land application system design*		(descr Directo by Qu Items)	cription from Column 4 of the ctor's Determination - Certificates Qualified Persons for Assessable Is)		
Details of work	(
Address:	283 Phipps Road				Lot No:	1
	Runnymede	719	90	Certificate of	title No:	141076/1
The assessable item related to this certificate:	Site and soil evaluation for wastewater management		(description of the assessable item being certified) Assessable item includes – - a material; - a form of construction. - a form of construction. - a document - testing of a component, building system - an inspection, or assessment, performed			
Certificate deta	ails:					
Certificate type:	On-site wastewater management		(description from Column 1 of Schedule 1 of the Director's Determination - Certificates by Qualified Persons for Assessable Items n)			
This certificate is in	relation to the above assessable items	s, at an	iy stage	e, as part of – <i>(ti</i>	ick one)
building	g work, plumbing work or plumbing inst	allation	or dem	olition work		
OR						

In issuing this certificate the following matters are relevant -

Documents:	
Documents.	Enviro-Tech Consultants Pty. Ltd. 2024. Site Soil Evaluation for Foundations and Wastewater Report for a Proposed Dwelling, 283 Phipps Road - Runnymede. Unpublished report for Sheds n Homes by Enviro-Tech Consultants Pty. Ltd.,
	12/11/2024.
	Site 'On-site wastewater design report' (CKEMP Design)
References:	
	Substance of Certificate: (what it is that is being certified)
- An assessment	of Site and soil conditions for on-site wastewater management and design
	Scope and/or Limitations

*Site and soil evaluation by Enviro-Tech Consultants Pty. Ltd. Land application system design is assessed in a separate 'On-site wastewater report' by a licensed building service designer: - Chris Fysh Licensed Building Services Designer - Civil / Hydraulic (License No: 479819732)

I certify the matters described in this certificate.

Qualified person:

Signed: Certificate No: Date: 12/11/2024

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION - PROPOSED NEW DWELLING (CLASS 1)

CLIENT	Zac Hucker
PROPERTY TITLE REFERENCE PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER PROPERTY ADDRESS	141076/1 2302184 283 Phipps Road, Runnymede 7190
LOCAL AUTHORITY PLANNING SCHEME ZONE	SOUTHERN MIDLANDS COUNCIL STATE PLANNING PROVISIONS AGRICULTURE
OVERLAYS BUSHFIRE ATTACK LEVEL (BAL)	Low landslip hazard band, Medium landslip hazard band, Waterway and coastal protection area, Bushfire-prone areas 29
CORROSION ENVIRONMENT SOIL CLASSIFICATION WIND CLASSIFICATION	MILD S CLASS N3
PROPERTY LOT SIZE	840000m2/
EXISTING BUILDING FOOTPRINT/S	NIL
PROPOSED DWELLING FOOTPRINT	180m2/

DIMENSION NOTE:

Use written diemtions only. Do no scale from drawings. All figured dimensions are to be used as a guide only. It is imperative that all dimensions, setouts and levels be confirmed onsite by the builder, Surveyor or Sub Contractor prior to the commencement of work, manufacture or installaion; and the Builder, Sub Contractor and/or manufacturer ensures a full set of plans are on hand and reference has been made to the general notes

DRAWING NOTE:

This drawing & design shown is the property of BLST Pty Ltd and shall not be copied nor reproduced in part or in whole in any form without the written permission of BLST Pty Ltd and shall be used only by the client of BLST Pty Ltd for the project for which it was provided.



Zac Hucker

hobart@shedsnhomes.com.au 283 Phipps Road, Runnymede TAS 7190 BLST Pty Ltd

NEW DWELLING

CONTENTS

C1.0 C2.0 C2.1 C3.0 C4.0 C4.1

COVER SHEET SITE PLAN SITE PLAN FLOORPLAN ELEVATIONS ELEVATIONS

COVER SH	HEFT			
		SCALE:		DRAWN BY:
		SHEET SIZE-	IĤR No-	SHEET No:
	ALVISION NO.	A3	SNH24-060	C1.0

Existing track into new driveway

New propsoed dwelling



DIMENSION NOTE:

Use written diemtnions only. Do no scale from drawings. All figured dimensions are to be used as a guide only. It is imperative that all dimensions, setouts and levels be confirmed onsite by the builder, Surveyor or Sub Contractor prior to the commencement of work, manufacture or installaion; and the Builder, Sub Contractor and/or manufacturer ensures a full set of plans are on hand and reference has been made to the general notes

DRAWING NOTE: This drawing & design shown is the property of BLST Pty Ltd and shall not be copied nor reproduced in part or in whole in any form without the written permission of BLST Pty Ltd and shall be used only by the client of BLST Pty Ltd for the project for which it was provided.



















FOLIO PLAN

RECORDER OF TITLES

Issued Pursuant to the Land Titles Act 1980





www.thelist.tas.gov.au





SEARCH OF TORRENS TITLE

VOLUME	FOLIO
141076	1
EDITION	DATE OF ISSUE
6	09-Dec-2021

SEARCH DATE : 09-Nov-2024 SEARCH TIME : 08.55 PM

DESCRIPTION OF LAND

Parish of KILLINGFORD Land District of PEMBROKE Lot 1 on Plan 141076 Derivation : Whole of Lot 18285 Gtd. to G.P. Phipps. Prior CT 116893/2

SCHEDULE 1

M928617 TRANSFER to ZACHARY LIKTUAN HUCKER and KIMBERLEY MARGARET MARTYN as tenants in common in equal shares Registered 09-Dec-2021 at noon

SCHEDULE 2

Reservations and conditions in the Crown Grant if any C556983 BURDENING EASEMENT: Right of Carriageway (appurtenant to Lot 3 on P.141076) over Right of Way 'A' shown passing through the said land within described C569100 BURDENING EASEMENT: Right of Carriageway (appurtenant to Lot 2 on P.141076) over Right of Way 'A' shown passing through the said land within described C628609 BURDENING EASEMENT: A Right of Carriageway

- (appurtenant to Lot 4 on P.141076) over Right of Way `A' shown passing through the said land within described
- C667797 BURDENING EASEMENT: A Right of Carriageway (appurtenant to Lot 2 on P.141077) over Right of Way 'A' shown on passing through the said land within described
- D118809 BURDENING EASEMENT: a right of carriageway (appurtenant to Lot 1 on Plan 141077) over the Right of Way 'A' on Plan 141076 Registered 02-Jun-2014 at 12.01 PM
- E284337 MORTGAGE to National Australia Bank Limited Registered 09-Dec-2021 at 12.01 PM

UNREGISTERED DEALINGS AND NOTATIONS



RECORDER OF TITLES

Issued Pursuant to the Land Titles Act 1980

No unregistered dealings or other notations

